# STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SECURITIES DIVISION 

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING Whether there has been a violation of the Securities Act of Washington by:

Stocktradefxt;

Order No. S-21-3185-21-FO01
ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND FINAL ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, TO IMPOSE FINES, AND TO CHARGE COSTS

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON TO:
Stocktradefxt
On January 1, 2022, the Securities Administrator of the State of Washington issued Statement of Charges and Notice of Intent to Enter Order to Cease and Desist, To Impose a Fine, and to Charge Costs, Order No. S-21-3185-21-SC01 ("Statement of Charges"). The Statement of Charges, together with a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Notice") and an Application for Adjudicative Hearing ("Application"), were served on Respondent Stocktradefxt, on January 26, 2021. The Notice advised Respondent Stocktradefxt that the Application must be received within twenty days from the date of service. Respondent Stocktradefxt failed to request an administrative hearing within twenty days of service.

The Securities Administrator therefore adopts as final the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as set forth in the Statement of Charges and enters a final order against Respondent Stocktradefxt to cease and desist from violations of the Securities Act, and to impose the fines and costs sought in the Statement of Charges.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

## Respondent

1. Stocktradefxt (Stocktradefxt.com) is an unincorporated entity that holds itself out as a cryptocurrency trading platform with its principal place of business purportedly in Miami, Florida. Stocktradefxt falsely lists a certificate of incorporation with the Registrar of Companies for England and

Wales with a Company number of 11445991 under the name of 24EXPERTCOIN LIMITED. However, the Company number 11445991 was previously registered to a separate, now-defunct, company not listed under either of the above-referenced names.

## Overview

2. From approximately April 2021 to July 2021, Stocktradefxt defrauded approximately $\$ 17,000$ from C.P., a Washington resident, by making false or misleading claims about its cryptocurrency trading platform and offerings. Specifically, Stocktradefxt defrauded C.P. when a Stocktradefxt account manager told C.P. that they could make investors a minimum return of $70 \%$ on their initial investment ${ }^{1}$ on a weekly basis. Based on this information, C.P. invested $\$ 1000$ of Bitcoin with Stocktradefxt. When C.P. attempted to withdraw a portion of their purported profits, Stocktradefxt charged C.P. a sequence of previously undisclosed fees (i.e., fees that must be made prior to withdrawing investment profits) which C.P. paid in Bitcoin. As of the effective date of this Final Order, Stocktradefxt has not made any payments to C.P.

## Nature of the Conduct

3. C.P. is a member of a Twitter community for persons interested in trading Dogecoin, and had, in late 2020, become interested in investing in cryptocurrency. C.P. stated that they were contacted via Twitter by a now-presumed Stocktradefxt affiliate claiming to be named Alicia Dora Montenegro ("Montenegro"). ${ }^{2}$ Montenegro recommended that C.P. invest with Stocktradefxt, claiming she makes nearly $\$ 25,000$ per week by investing with Stocktradefxt account manager Leise Doyle ("Doyle"). In April 2021, C.P. contacted Doyle

[^0]on Twitter to inquire about Stocktradefxt's trading platform. Doyle's Twitter handle @Trading_Ideas6, has since been suspended.
4. Doyle told C.P. that she works for Stocktradefxt and that if C.P. invests with her, C.P. could get a great return on their investment. As an example, Doyle told C.P. that new investors who have tried Stocktradefxt invested $\$ 50,000$ and made over $\$ 200,000$ at the end of their respective one-week "trading cycles." Doyle told C.P. that Stocktradefxt operated on a one-week "trading cycle," meaning that after an investor transfers money to Stocktradefxt, an investor could not withdraw their profits until one week had passed. To the Securities' Division knowledge, the term "trading cycle" is not used in the financial industry and appears to an arbitrary limitation on the withdrawal of an investor's funds that is not tied to market performance.
5. Doyle told C.P. that the greater the amount of the investment, given the same amount of returns, the bigger profit they will make. Doyle told C.P. that she gives her investors a minimum return of $70 \%$ on their investment on a weekly basis. Doyle told C.P. that Stocktradefxt utilizes investor funds to make trades in Forex and cryptocurrency to generate profit for investors. Doyle told C.P. that she would handle all trading for C.P. Doyle told C.P. that in order to have Stocktradefxt invest on their behalf, C.P. would need to download a cryptocurrency wallet app, Coinbase, and transfer a certain amount of Bitcoin funds into a Stocktradefxt wallet. Doyle did not provide specifics to C.P. as to the commission fee structure, nor did she provide C.P. with any documents or disclosures regarding investing with Stocktradefxt.
6. Stocktradefxt's website indicated that it had been in business for six years and claimed, "Ventures with Stocktradefxt . . . are moderate and safe. Stocktradefxt has been doing business since 2015 and accomplished brilliant outcomes." Further, the website claimed, "We will probably accomplish the most astounding profit from the movement for the outside case trade (Forex) and Digital money trade markets."
7. C.P. established an account on Stocktradefxt's website in mid-May 2021, purchased $\$ 1000$ of Bitcoin, and then transferred it to a cryptocurrency wallet maintained by Stocktradefxt. C.P. did not direct or manage any cryptocurrency trades with these funds, but relied on Stocktradefxt to do so. After one week, C.P.'s online Stocktradefxt account showed that their initial $\$ 1000$ investment had increased in value to approximately $\$ 14,800$. Doyle led C.P. to believe that they would be able to make withdrawals from their account at any time after the one-week trading cycle.
8. C.P. then inputted their bank account information, including routing and account number, on the Stocktradefxt website, and submitted a withdrawal request for $\$ 7,000$. However, when C.P. attempted to withdraw their earnings from the account, C.P. received an email from Stocktradefxt, stating that there was a fee to pay before their withdrawal could be processed. Over the course of the next two (2) months, Stocktradefxt told C.P. to make a series of fee and commission payments totaling nearly $\$ 17,000$ prior to being able to withdraw their profits, which C.P. paid.
9. Neither Stocktradefxt nor Doyle had previously disclosed to C.P. the additional fees or that the commission fee must be paid upfront and could not be paid utilizing C.P.'s investment profits. C.P. reached out to Doyle on multiple occasions during this time frame regarding these fees and Doyle told C.P. that these fees were customary and must be paid prior to making any withdrawals. Soon after contacting Doyle, Doyle became unresponsive on social media accounts and did not respond to C.P.
10. In soliciting C.P. to invest in its cryptocurrency trading program, Stocktradefxt misrepresented or failed to disclose material information. In addition to its failure to disclose the withdrawal fee discussed above, Stocktradefxt failed to disclose any information about its cryptocurrency trading strategy, including how the strategy worked generally, how it could earn a $70 \%$ return in one week, and any risks associated with earning such a return.
11. Stocktradefxt failed to respond to a subpoena from the Securities Division for information concerning its transactions with Washington residents. Additionally, although its purported location is at a business address in Miami, IP address information provided by Stocktradefxt's domain registrar indicates that the site is operated from an African IP address, most likely Nigeria. The Securities Division was also unable to find any record showing that Stocktradefxt is registered with any US financial authority.

## Registration Status

12. Stocktradefxt is not, and has never been, registered in any capacity in the State of Washington, including as a broker-dealer or investment adviser.
13. Stocktradefxt's cryptocurrency trading program is not registered as a security in the State of Washington.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact, the following Conclusions of Law are made:

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The offer and/or sale of the cryptocurrency trading program described above constitutes the offer and/or sale of a security as defined in RCW 21.20.005(14) and (17).
2. Stocktradefxt has violated RCW 21.20.140, because, as set forth in the Tentative Findings of Fact, it offered and/or sold securities for which no registration is on file with the Securities Administrator.
3. Stocktradefxt violated RCW 21.20.010, because, as set forth in the Tentative Findings of Fact, it made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances in which they were made, not misleading.

Based upon the foregoing and finding it in the public interest:

## FINAL ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Respondent Stocktradefxt, and its agents and employees, shall cease and desist from offering and/or selling securities in any manner in violation of RCW 21.20.140, the registration section of the Securities Act of Washington.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent Stocktradefxt, and its agents and employees, shall cease and desist from violating RCW 21.20.010, the anti-fraud section of the Securities Act of Washington.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent Stocktradefxt shall be liable for and pay a fine in the amount of \$20,000.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent Stocktradefxt shall be liable for and pay investigative costs in the amount of $\$ 1,250$.

## AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

This FINAL ORDER is entered pursuant to the provisions of RCW 21.20.390, and is subject to the provisions of RCW 21.20.440 and Chapter 34.05 RCW. Respondents have the right to petition the superior court for judicial review of this agency action under the provisions of Chapter 34.05 RCW . For the requirements for judicial review, see RCW 34.05.510 and sections following. Pursuant to RCW 21.20.395, a certified copy of this Order may be filed in Superior Court. If so filed, the clerk shall treat the Order in the same manner as a Superior Court judgment as to the fine, and the fine may be recorded, enforced, or satisfied in like manner.

WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

SIGNED and ENTERED this __11_th day of ___ March_, 2022.

Approved by:


Brian Guerard
Chief of Enforcement

Reviewed by:
Odom Yeoton
Adam N. Yeaton
Acting FLE Supervisor


William M. Beatty
Securities Administrator
Presented by:


James M. Kane
Financial Legal Examiner


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Securities regulators have consistently warned that advertisements of even double-digit annual returns should be treated with suspicion. For instance, the Securities and Exchange Commission warns, "[p]romises of consistent double-digit returns are consistently frauds." Securities and Exchange Commission, "Investing Smart from the Start: Five Questions to Ask Before You Invest," Dec. 14, 2009, available at https://www.sec.gov/reportspubs/investor-publications/investorpubsfivequestionshtm.html

